

3D SRME: An Acquisition Independent Approach

A.Pica, P. Lanfranchi*, Ph. Herrmann

CGG, Paris, France

Summary

Conventional data-driven SRME techniques do not require any *a priori* knowledge of the subsurface (reflectivity, structures and velocities). However, these methods require a shot location at each receiver location, wherein lies the main difficulty for their 3D implementation. Today solutions involve demanding reconstruction of the missing data or reconstruction of the missing multiple contributions. In this paper, we present an SRME approach based on multiple modeling and free from any constraint related to the relative source and receiver location. This key feature makes our 3D SRME technology applicable to any kind of acquisition, from conventional streamer seismic to OBC survey.

Introduction

Multiple generation "squares" (at least for first order multiples) the degree of complexity of the reverberated reflected energy, and, in general, there is no domain, neither time, depth, nor pre or post migrated, where multiples and primaries can be simplified simultaneously. Conventional separation methods based on multiple periodicity or residual move-out will fail, and it is therefore necessary to predict deterministically the multiples in 3D to ensure proper denoising of the seismic data.

Data-driven SRME techniques ideally require one shot location for each receiver position, and this is not the case for most of the 3D acquisition geometries. The first solution to this problem requires the use of existing data only, and then extrapolation of the available multiple contributions. The second solution requires interpolation of the input data, thus creating the missing streamers and shot lines for the required convolutional process. Model-based modeling techniques may require interpolation between streamers, but not between sources.

3D SRME prediction

SRME technology, (Vershuur and Berkhout, 1997, Biersteker, 2001) led to an elegant and efficient, purely data-based multiple modeling technique. The main advantage is that SRME can be implemented as a fully data-driven technology: there is no need for picking or velocity analysis, and it can be used in the earliest stages of the data processing chain. The main drawback of this kind of technique is that it ideally requires one shot location for each receiver position, and this is not the case for most 3D acquisition geometries. Instead of interpolating, the first proposed solution consists in extrapolating the available multiple

contributions, as proposed by Van Deden and Verschuur (2001), without any interpolation in the input data. Missed crossline contributions can then be extrapolated by using a parametric inversion assuming constant-velocity hyperbolic curvatures in the search for the Fresnel zones of the sparse crossline contributions. The method is recommended for situations where crossline structures vary gently. Moore and Dragoset (2004) have shown some examples of fully computed crossline contribution panels where it was clear that the pattern of those contributions was rather irregular and clearly not hyperbolic. Nevertheless, the method can work in rather complex situations as shown by Van Borselen et al. (2004).

The second solution for SRME implementation in 3D involves interpolation of the pre-stack input data. The regularization through the application of DMO and inverse DMO offers a suitable solution as proposed and implemented by Baumstein and Hadidi (2004) or Matson et al. (2004). Other authors prefer the use of statistical or pure signal processing methods. Thus, Lin et al. (2004) used the Fourier transform-based method from Xu et al. (2004), while Hokstad and Sollie (2004) preferred parabolic sparse inversion in the angular frequency domain either for interpolating the data itself or for interpolating the available multiple contributions.

3D SRME with Waveform Modeling (WM SRME)

The alternative to data-based SRME techniques lies in the family of model-based prediction methods. Recently, Kabir et al. (2004) demonstrated a wavefield extrapolation-based demultiple method which opens out to 3D the technique proposed by Wiggins (1999) for targeting the elimination of water bottom multiples and peg-legs.



Interpolation between receiver lines is necessary for building an areal antenna, but not interpolation between sources.

Continuing with model-based prediction methods, the WM SRME approach presented here consists in using the pre-stack demigration of a migrated volume and subsequently simulating the reverberations of primary energy within this volume. It assumes that the migrated section is a reliable representation of the actual subsurface reflectivity. The principle of this innovative implementation is intuitively obvious, but we can verify that it relates to the same implicit relationship between primaries and multiples as conventional SRME:

$$D = (I - s^{-1} * P)^{-1} * P \quad (1)$$

where D are the data and P the primaries, and thus $M = D - P$. The symbol “ $*$ ” stands for a multidimensional surface-consistent convolution operator, and, s^{-1} the inverse wavelet or wavelets. This expression can be expanded in the Neumann series:

$$D = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (s^{-1} P)^n \right] P \quad (2)$$

and then developed:

$$\begin{aligned} D &= P + (s^{-1} * P)^1 * P + (s^{-1} * P)^2 * P + \dots \\ &= P + M_1 + M_2 + \dots \\ &= P + \text{WM SRME} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Each term in the series from equation (3) in fact describes the operations involved in WM SRME: from primaries, generated or recorded, it is necessary to iterate the previous result with a primaries generator tool.

By comparison, conventional SRME convolution of the data with the primaries immediately provides all order multiples with the right kinematics, while in the first stage of WM SRME, the demigration leads to the primaries model (figure 1a). The second iteration consists in reintroducing previous primaries as sources for a new modeling, thus leading to the first-order multiples (figures 1b). These correspond to the second term of the Neumann series, and more iterations lead to higher order multiples (figure 1c).

It is also possible to skip this cyclic procedure if the deconvolved and regularized shot records are available, by interpolating between streamers. In this case, the shot record can be used as an areal source in the modeling

procedure (figure 1d). As long as the primary modeling into a multiple-free migrated section is reliable, then again:

$$M = s^{-1} * D * P \quad (4)$$

The input for the demigration procedure consists of a migrated section, either time or depth, a velocity macro-model and the data trace coordinates for the shotpoint in question. The ringing of the primaries and multiples through the target volume is modelled using the one-way wave equation. The method therefore handles well the problems of cable feathering, as there is a propagated wavefield all along the acquisition surface. The OBC case geometry is also easily handled as the sources (common receiver) can be placed anywhere.

The need for a preliminary input migrated section may have been regarded as prohibitory not so long ago. But the new fact is that pre-stack migration (or even post-stack for easy cases) is nowadays almost the basic processing sequence for any project. Prestack migration programs are designed for working with massive PC clusters, allowing for flexibility and very fast turnaround. Velocity analysis has also evolved considerably, and we can rely on dense automated picking. The sharpness of the migrated image will be crucial in determining the capability to generate diffractions, but conventional migration illumination sizes allow for good definition of subsurface detail.

Real data examples

WM SRME has been applied to various real dataset from the Gulf of Mexico, the North Sea and offshore Angola. Experience of the application of WM SRME to North Sea real data includes the Ormen-Lange dataset (Kleemeyer et al., 2003), which is a good example, illustrating the advantages of this technique for modelling multiples from the complex seafloor and its neighbouring reflectors (figure 2). Due to the reduced extension of the main multiples generator, consisting of the sea bottom and close reflectors, a constant velocity macromodel was used for the wavefield propagation. Up to third order multiples were included in the modelling since the constant velocity assumption and the limited thickness of the generators allowed a reasonable cost to be maintained at the modelling stage. As a consequence of the rugose sea floor, the multiple contamination is severe and complex on the initial stack section (figure 2). The multiple model obtained by WM SRME, within the constant velocity assumption, identifies the complexity of the multiple events system as seen on the




Fig. 1: **a)** When a regularized input shot record is not available, SRMM requires simulation of each bounce in the multiple generation process, starting as here with the primary modeling. **b)** The primaries reaching the surface have to be reinjected into the model as an areal source record to illuminate the migrated section, and thus model the first order multiples. **c)** This process has to be repeated to model each multiple order, unless the recorded real data is regularized and injected into the model. **d)** Once the input shot record can be regularized, it can be used as an areal shot record for being injected into the model. When using a thin reflectivity model (sea floor vicinity) at least the receiver side peg-legs can be accurately modeled.




Figure 2: In the Ormen-Lange dataset case, the multiples are produced by a complex seafloor and its neighbouring reflectors. As a consequence of the rugose seafloor, multiple contamination is severe and complex on the initial stack section.




Fig.3: The multiple model obtained by WM SRME within the constant velocity assumption reveals the complexity of the multiple events system as shown on the stack of the multiple model.



stack of the multiple model (figure 3). Pre-stack adaptation and subtraction of the multiples via a least-squares multi-channel approach provides the stacked subtraction result shown in figure 4.

For GOM data, rapid changes in propagation velocities resulting from shallow salt structures have shown that the constant velocity assumption prevents an accurate prediction of top or base salt peg-legs when the initial primaries needed in the WM SRME process are obtained by demigration. In such cases, the alternative technique




Fig. 4: Stacked section from the result of the least-squares multichannel pre-stack adaptation and subtraction

using regularized input shot records as the areal source in the demigration procedure will be preferred.

Conclusion

By using pre-stack demigration of a migrated volume and subsequently simulating the reverberations of primary energy within this volume, it is possible to perform 3D Surface-Related Multiple Modeling. The method may require a previous velocity macromodel when dealing with deep multiple generators, but simpler assumptions can be

used when dealing with shallower multiple generators. A reflectivity model is compulsory. It should not be a blocky one, but the migrated section itself. Of course, a rich and well defined migrated section will allow the building of very detailed multiple models. As a result of the use of the wave equation demigration algorithm, the method has a large CPU time consumption, and when regularized input shot records are not available, higher order multiples have to be explicitly calculated, thus requiring more computing time for each multiple order. The main strength of this method is that there are no constraints on the acquisition geometry. It can handle cable feathering and can process OBC acquisition geometries as well. When the primaries are computed within the same process, there are light data transfer flows as the pre-stack data are not needed in this case, only the trace headers and migrated section. This makes our new approach well suited to parallel implementation on PC cluster architecture.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the partners from the Ormen-Lange reservoir, Norsk Hydro, Shell, Petoro, BP, Statoil and ExxonMobil for permission to publish this paper. Steve Pharez, Sara Pink-Zerling and Jean-Paul Gruffeille are acknowledged for their help.

References

- Hokstad, K., and Sollie, R., 2004, 3-D surface_related multiple elimination with source_line reconstruction, *74th Ann. Internat. Mtg. SEG, Exp. Abstract.*
- Kabir, N., Abma, R., and Xia, G., 2004, 3D Wavefield Extrapolation based demultiple in Ormen Lange, *74th Ann. Internat. Mtg. EG, Exp. Abstract.*
- Lin, D., Young, J., Huang, Y., 2004, 3D SRME Application in the Gulf of Mexico, *66th Mtg.: Eur. Assn. Geosci. Eng., Exp. Abstracts.*
- Matson, K.H., Michel, S., Abma, R., Shoshitaishvili, E., Williams, M.C., Ahmed, I., Oldroyd, J.D., and Ramsey R., 2004, Advanced Subsalt Imaging and 3D Surface Multiple Attenuation in Atlantis: A Case Study, *74th Ann. Internat. Mtg. SEG, Exp. Abstracts.*
- Van Borselen R., Schonewille M. and Hegge R., 2004, 3D SRME: Acquisition & Processing Solutions, *74th Ann. Internat. Mtg. SEG, Exp. Abstract.*
- Xu, S., Zhang, Y., Pham, D.L. and Lambaré, G., 2004, On the orthogonality of anti-leakage Fourier transform based

- seismic trace interpolation, *74th Ann. Internat. Mtg. SEG, Exp. Abstract.*
- Kleemeyer, G., Pettersson, S.E., Eppenga, R., Haneveld, C. J., Biersteker, J. and den Ouden, R., 2003, It's Magic – Industry First
- 3D Surface Multiple Elimination and Pre-Stack Depth Migration on Ormen Lange, *65th Mtg.: Eur. Assn. Geosci. Eng., B43.*
- Biersteker, J., 2001, MAGIC: Shell's surface multiple attenuation technique, *71st Ann. Internat. Mtg: Soc. of Expl. Geophys., Expanded Abstracts*, 1301-1304.
- Van Dedem, E., and Verschuur, D., 2001, 3-D surface multiple prediction using sparse inversion: *71st Ann. Internat. Mtg. SEG, Exp. Abstracts.*
- Wiggins, W., 1999, Multiple attenuation by explicit wave extrapolation to an interpreted horizon: The Leading Edge, Vol. 18, 46- 54.
- Verschuur, D.J., and Berkhout, A.J., 1997, Estimation of multiple scattering by iterative inversion, Part II: Practical aspects and examples: *Geophysics*, **62**, 1596-1611.